

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 0754-0192P 1286 10/601,652 06/24/2003 Masatoshi Yokota **EXAMINER** 12/14/2004 2292 7590 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH HUNTER, ALVIN A **PO BOX 747** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 3711

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/601,652	YOKOTA, MASATOSHI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alvin A. Hunter	3711
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 November 2004.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-9,11 and 12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6-9,11 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers		·
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s)	_	
I) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/24/2003.		atent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 11 and 12 are objected to because of the following informalities: In line 3 of claims 11 and 12, "an" should read –the—and "a" should read --the--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 11 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification does not provide any description as to how the composition "consists essentially of." If supported, applicant should clarify.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/601,652

Art Unit: 3711

Claims 1-4, 6-9, 11, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu (USPN 5908358) in view of Wu (6210294).

Wu '358 discloses a thermosetting urethane golf ball cover wherein the thermosetting urethane resin composition comprises an isocyanate groupterminated urethane prepolymer and a polyamine compound; covering a core having a Young's modulus, also known as modulus of elasticity or stiffness modulus, from about 5000 to 100000 psi, or 34.5 to 689.5 MPa (See claim 1 of Wu '358). In table 1, Wu '358 shows examples of golf balls in which bear the cover of the present invention wherein it is shown that the covers have a hardness of 51 to 58 Shore D. From the above, a modulus of at least 102 to 116 would satisfy the applicant's criteria, and therefore, would anticipate the above claims. Wu '358 discloses that the types of polyurethane that may be used are of thermoplastic and thermoset type in which examples of how those types are made. Wu '358 does limit the polyurethane to having the types of isocyanates disclosed. Wu '358 also established that alicylic isocyanates, which inherently has color stabilizing characteristics, may be used to produce thermoset polyurethane (See Column 5, lines 39 through 50). Wu '294 discloses a polyurethane composition have dicyclohexylmethane diisocyanates and isophorone diisocyanate (See Summary of the invention). One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to use the above isocyanates within Wu '358 in order to increase the initial velocity of the golf ball.

Regarding claims 11 and 12, Wu '358 as modified above, consists essentially of an isocyanate group-terminated urethane prepolymer and a

Application/Control Number: 10/601,652

Art Unit: 3711

polyamine as set forth in the specification, Wu '358. Alternatively, Wu '358 also discloses the composition containing epoxy only to increase the adhesion of the cover over the core; therefore, one having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to omit the epoxy from the composition if the adhesion characteristics disclosed by Wu '358 are not desired.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-10 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin A. Hunter whose telephone number is (571) 272-4411. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30AM to 4:00PM Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Vidovich, can be reached on 571-272-4415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

Art Unit: 3711

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-

free).

HAA

Alvin A. Hunter, Jr.

BREGORY VIDÓVICH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700